

# 1 Map Transformation to Force Convergence to 2 Unique Fixed Point

3 Gilles Gnacadja

<http://math.gillesgnacadja.info/>  
[gilles.gnacadja@gmail.com](mailto:gilles.gnacadja@gmail.com)

4 Revision A.02 12 December 2010

## 5 1 The Question

6 Is there a transformation  $\mathcal{T}$  of maps  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  with the following property?

7 If a map  $F : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  is smooth and order-reversing and possesses a  
8 unique fixed point  $\omega$ , then

- 9 • The point  $\omega$  is the unique fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}F$ ; and
- 10 • For some (known) point  $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ , the sequence of iterates of  $\mathcal{T}F$   
11 starting at  $a$  converges to the (unknown) point  $\omega$ .

## 12 2 Why this Question?

13 Consider a map  $F : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  which is smooth and order-reversing and  
14 possesses a unique fixed point  $\omega$ . The sequence  $(x(k))_{k \geq 0}$  of iterates of  $F$   
15 with  $x(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the following property.

$$16 \quad x(0) \leq x(2) \leq x(4) \leq \dots \leq \omega \leq \dots \leq x(5) \leq x(3) \leq x(1).$$

17 The sequence  $(x(k))_{k \geq 0}$  either converges to  $\omega$  or accumulates to a 2-orbit  
18  $\{\omega^-, \omega^+\}$  of  $F$  such that  $\omega^- \leq \omega \leq \omega^+$ . ( $\omega^-$  and  $\omega^+$  are sometimes referred  
19 to as coupled fixed points, but note that they are fixed points of  $F^2$ , not of  $F$ .)  
20

21 I know several fixed-point-preserving transformations. However, as far as I  
22 know, these may accelerate already assured convergence; they do not guar-  
23 antee convergence that is not already assured.

## 24 3 The Trivial Case $n = 1$

25 If  $n = 1$ , then the assumption that  $F$  has a unique fixed point is redundant  
26 and the problem is solved with  $\mathcal{T}F$  a suitable convex combination of  $F$  and  
27 the identity map.

## 28 4 Where Does This Question Come From?

29 I have a smooth bijection  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  such that

$$30 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n, f_i(x) = x_i g_i(x)$$

31 with  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$  a smooth order-preserving map.

32  
33 I am interested in solving the equation

$$34 \quad f(x) = b$$

35 for the unknown  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  given  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$ .

36  
37 This equation is equivalent to the fixed-point equation

$$38 \quad F(x) = x$$

39 where  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  and  $F_i(x) = b_i/g_i(x)$ .

## 40 5 Satisfying the Hypotheses of the Question

41 I provide here a map  $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  which satisfies the hypotheses of the  
42 question. After all, it could be that  $g$  (resp.  $F$ ) being order-preserving (resp.  
43 order-reversing) is not enough.

44  
45 Let  $I$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  and let  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  for each  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in I$ .

46 The map  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$  given by

$$47 \quad f_i(x) = x_i + \sum_{\alpha \in I} \alpha_i a_\alpha x^\alpha,$$

48 where  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ , satisfies the hypotheses of the  
49 question.

50  
51 See [2, Theorem 3.4] for the fact that  $f$  is a smooth bijection. A sufficient but  
52 usually restrictive condition for the convergence of the sequence  $(x(k))_{k \geq 0}$  is  
53 in [1, Theorem 5.3]. The condition is not restrictive, i.e. is always satisfied,  
54 if all elements of  $I$  have  $\ell_1$ -norm equal to 2; see [1, Theorem 5.4].

## 55 6 An Analogous Question

56 The feature  $f_i(x) = x_i g_i(x)$  and the manner I wish to exploit it are also in  
57 Question [3]. But in my understanding, it is not known for a fact that the  
58 equation in that question has a unique nonnegative solution.

## 59 References

- 60 [1] Gilles Gnacadja, *Fixed Points of Order-Reversing Maps in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  and Che-*  
61 *mical Equilibrium*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 30  
62 (2007), no. 2, 201–211, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mma.782>.
- 63 [2] Gilles Gnacadja, *Univalent Positive Polynomial Maps and the Equi-*  
64 *librium State of Chemical Networks of Reversible Binding Reactions*,  
65 *Advances in Applied Mathematics* 43 (2009), no. 4, 394–414, [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2009.05.001)  
66 [//dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2009.05.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2009.05.001).
- 67 [3] Tomek Tarczynski, *Convergence of Iterative Algorithm*,  
68 [http://mathoverflow.net/questions/14167/](http://mathoverflow.net/questions/14167/convergence-of-iterative-algorithm)  
69 [convergence-of-iterative-algorithm](http://mathoverflow.net/questions/14167/convergence-of-iterative-algorithm).